arXiv:1701.02518v1 [math.CO] 10 Jan 2017

CLUSTER ALGEBRAS AND SYMMETRIZABLE MATRICES

AHMET I. SEVEN

Abstract. In the structural theory of cluster algebras, a crucial role is played by a family of integer vectors, called **c**-vectors, which parametrize the coefficients. Each **c**-vector with respect to an acyclic initial seed is a real root of the corresponding root system and the **c**-vectors associated with any seed defines a symmetrizable quasi-cartan companion for the corresponding exchange matrix. We establish basic combinatorial properties of these companions, generalizing those obtained by the author for symmetric quasi-Cartan companions.

1. Introduction

In the structural theory of cluster algebras, a crucial role is played by a family of integer vectors, called **c**-vectors, which parametrize the coefficients. Each **c**-vector with respect to an acyclic initial seed is a real root of the corresponding root system; futhermore, the **c**-vectors associated with any seed defines a symmetrizable quasi-cartan companion for the corresponding exchange matrix. Some basic combinatorial properties of these companions for skew-symmetric acyclic cluster algebras have been obtained by the author in [11]. In this paper, we generalize these results to arbitrary skew-symmetrizable acyclic cluster algebras

To state our results, let us recall some terminology from [11]. We first recall that an $n \times n$ integer matrix B is skew-symmetrizable if there is a diagonal matrix D with positive diagonal entries such that DB is skew-symmetric. We denote by $\mathbb{T}_n$ be an *n-regular tree* whose edges are labeled by the numbers $1, \ldots, n$, so that the n edges incident to each vertex receive different labels. We write $t \overset{k}{\text{---}} t'$ to indicate that vertices $t, t' \in \mathbb{T}_n$ are joined by an edge labeled by k. We fix a vertex t_0 in $\mathbb{T}_n$ and assign the pair $(\mathbf{c}_0, B_0)$, where $\mathbf{c}_0$ is the tuple of standard basis and B_0 is a skew-symmetrizable matrix. Then, to every vertex $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$ we assign a pair, called a Y-seed, $(\mathbf{c}_t, B_t)$, where $\mathbf{c}_t = (\mathbf{c}_1, ..., \mathbf{c}_n)$ with each $\mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{c}_{i;t} = (c_1, ..., c_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ being non-zero, such that, for any edge $t \overset{k}{\text{---}} t'$, the Y-seed $(\mathbf{c}', B') = (\mathbf{c}_{t'}, B_{t'})$ is obtained from $(\mathbf{c}, B) = (\mathbf{c}_t, B_t)$ by the *Y-seed mutation* μ_k defined as follows, where we use the notation $[b]_+ = \max(b, 0)$:

- The entries of the matrix $B' = (B'_{ij})$ are given by

$$B'_{ij} = \begin{cases} -B_{ij} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k; \\ B_{ij} + [B_{ik}]_+[B_{kj}]_+ - [-B_{ik}]_+[-B_{kj}]_+ & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Date: Jan 10, 2017.

The author's research was supported in part by the Turkish Research Council (TUBITAK) .

- The tuple $\mathbf{c}' = (\mathbf{c}'_1, \ldots, \mathbf{c}'_n)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{c}'_i = \begin{cases} -\mathbf{c}_i & \text{if } i = k; \\ \mathbf{c}_i + [sgn(\mathbf{c}_k)B_{k,i}]_+\mathbf{c}_k & \text{if } i \neq k. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

The matrix B' is skew-symmetrizable with the same choice of D. We also use the notation $B' = \mu_k(B)$ (in (1.1)) and call the transformation $B \mapsto B'$ the *matrix mutation*. For the Y-seeds, we denote $\mu_k(\mathbf{c}, B) = (\mathbf{c}', B')$; we call $(\mathbf{c}_0, B_0)$ the initial Y-seed.

Let us recall that the *diagram* of a skew-symmetrizable $n \times n$ matrix B is the directed graph $\Gamma(B)$ defined as follows: the vertices of $\Gamma(B)$ are the indices $1, 2, ..., n$ such that there is a directed edge from i to j if and only if $B_{j,i} > 0$, and this edge is assigned the weight $|B_{ij}B_{ji}|$. We say that $\Gamma(B)$ is acyclic if it has no oriented cycles. Then there is a corresponding generalized Cartan matrix A such that $A_{i,i} = 2$ and $A_{i,j} = -|B_{i,j}|$ for $i \neq j$. There is also the associated root system in the root lattice spanned by the simple roots α_i [6]. For each simple root α_i, the corresponding reflection $s_{\alpha_i} = s_i$ is the linear isomorphism defined on the basis of simple roots as $s_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j - A_{i,j}\alpha_i$. Then the real roots are defined as the vectors obtained from the simple roots by a sequence of reflections. It is well known that the coordinates of a real root with respect to the basis of simple roots are either all nonnegative or all nonpositive, see [6] for details.

Let us also recall that an $n \times n$ matrix A is called symmetrizable if there exists a symmetrizing diagonal matrix D with positive diagonal entries such that DA is symmetric. A *quasi-Cartan companion* (or "companion" for short) of a skew-symmetrizable matrix B is a symmetrizable matrix A such that $A_{i,i} = 2$, $|A_{i,j}| = |B_{i,j}|$ for all $i \neq j$.

We can now recall the main statements that we use in this paper.

Theorem 1.1. [8, Corollary 3.29] *Suppose that the initial seed $(\mathbf{c}_0, B_0)$ is acyclic. Then, for any Y-seed $(\mathbf{c}_t, B_t)$, $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$, each $\mathbf{c}$-vector $\mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{c}_{i;t}$ is the coordinate vector of a real root with respect to the basis of simple roots in the corresponding root system. Furthermore, $A = (\langle \mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{c}_i^\vee \rangle)$, the matrix of the pairings between the roots and the coroots, is a quasi-Cartan companion of the skew-symmetrizable matrix $B = B_t$.*

One of our main results is the following explicit description of the entries of the quasi-Cartan companions defined by $\mathbf{c}$-vectors:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\Sigma_t = (\mathbf{c}, B)$ be a Y-seed with respect to an acyclic initial seed $(\mathbf{c}_0, B_0)$. Let A_0 be the (symmetrizable) generalized Cartan matrix associated to B_0. Then the quasi-Cartan companion $A = (A_{i,j}) = (\langle \mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{c}_i^\vee \rangle)$ has the following properties:*

- *If $sgn(B_{j,i}) = sgn(\mathbf{c}_j)$, then $A_{j,i} = -sgn(\mathbf{c}_j)B_{j,i} = -|B_{j,i}|$.*
- *If $sgn(B_{j,i}) = -sgn(\mathbf{c}_j)$, then $A_{j,i} = sgn(\mathbf{c}_i)B_{j,i} = -sgn(\mathbf{c}_i)sgn(\mathbf{c}_j)|B_{j,i}|$.*

In particular; if $sgn(\mathbf{c}_j) = -sgn(\mathbf{c}_i)$, then $B_{j,i} = sgn(\mathbf{c}_i)A_{j,i}$.

Corollary 1.3. *In the setup of Theorem 1.2, suppose that $t \overset{k}{\text{---}} t'$ in $\mathbb{T}_n$ Then, for $\mu_k(\mathbf{c}, B) = (\mathbf{c}', B')$, we have the following: if $\mathbf{c}'_i \neq \mathbf{c}_i$, then $\mathbf{c}'_i = s_{\mathbf{c}_k}(\mathbf{c}_i)$, where $s_{\mathbf{c}_k}$ is the reflection with respect to the real root $\mathbf{c}_k$ and $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is identified with the root lattice.*

We also have the following combinatorial properties of the quasi-Cartan companions defined by **c**-vectors:

Theorem 1.4. *The quasi-Cartan companion A from Theorem 1.2 has the following properties:*

- *Every directed path of the diagram $\Gamma(B)$ has at most one edge $\{i,j\}$ such that $A_{i,j} > 0$.*
- *Every oriented cycle of the diagram $\Gamma(B)$ has exactly one edge $\{i,j\}$ such that $A_{i,j} > 0$.*
- *Every non-oriented cycle of the diagram $\Gamma(B)$ has an even number of edges $\{i,j\}$ such that $A_{i,j} > 0$.*

(By a cycle in $\Gamma(B)$ we mean a subdiagram whose vertices can be labeled by elements of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ so that the edges betweeen them are precisely $\{i, i+1\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$).

A quasi-Cartan companion that can be obtained from A in Theorem 1.4 by a sequence of simultaneous sign changes in rows and columns is called admissible [11]. In particular, A is admissible. This property could be useful for recognizing diagrams which can be obtained from an acyclic one by a sequence of mutations as a diagram that does not have an admissible quasi-Cartan companion can not be obtained from any acyclic diagram by a sequence of mutations.

2. Proofs of main results

Let us first recall the following property of root systems. For a generalized Cartan matrix A with symmetrizing matrix $D = diag(d_1, ..., d_n)$, there is an invariant symmetric bilinear form $(,)$ defined on the simple roots as $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = d_i A_{i,j} = d_j A_{j,i} = (\alpha_j, \alpha_i)$. Let us note that, for any real root α, the corresponding reflection s_α is defined on the real roots as $s_\alpha(\beta) = \beta - \langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha$, with $\langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \dfrac{2(\alpha,\beta)}{(\alpha,\alpha)}$. In particular, $s_{\beta_i}(\beta_j) = \beta_j - \langle \beta_j, \beta_i{}^\vee \rangle \beta_i = \beta_j - A_{i,j}\beta_i$.

Let us also recall the mutation of quasi Cartan companions. Suppose that B is a skew-symmetrizable matrix and let A be a quasi-Cartan companion of B. Let k be an index. For each sign $\epsilon = \pm 1$, "the ϵ-mutation of A at k" is the quasi-Cartan matrix $\mu_k^\epsilon(A) = A'$ such that for any $i, j \neq k$: $A'_{i,k} = \epsilon sgn(B_{k,i}) A_{i,k}$, $A'_{k,j} = \epsilon sgn(B_{k,j}) A_{k,j}$, $A'_{i,j} = A_{i,j} - sgn(A_{i,k}A_{k,j})[B_{i,k}B_{k,j}]_+$. In the setup of Theorem 1.1, suppose that $t \overset{k}{\text{---}} t'$ in $\mathbb{T}_n$ and let A and A' be the associated quasi-Cartan companions given by the corresponding c-vectors. Then $A' = \mu_k^\epsilon(A)$ for $\epsilon = sgn(\mathbf{c}_k)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. To prove the first part, let us suppose that $sgn(B_{j,i}) = sgn(\mathbf{c}_j)$. Let $\mu_j(\mathbf{c}, B) = (\mathbf{c}', B')$ with $B' = \mu_j(B)$. Then $\mathbf{c}'_i = \mathbf{c}_i + [sgn(\mathbf{c}_j)B_{j,i}]_+ \mathbf{c}_j = \mathbf{c}_i + sgn(B_{j,i})B_{j,i}\mathbf{c}_j = \mathbf{c}_i + |B_{j,i}|\mathbf{c}_j$. We denote by $(,)$ the invariant symmetric bilinear form defined by A_0 on the root lattice. Note that, by Theorem 1.1, we have the following: $2d_i = (\mathbf{c}'_i, \mathbf{c}'_i) = (\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_i)$, $2d_j = (\mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{c}_j)$, $(\mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{c}_i) = (\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j) = d_i A_{i,j} = d_j A_{j,i}$. Then $2d_i = (\mathbf{c}'_i, \mathbf{c}'_i) = (\mathbf{c}_i + |B_{j,i}|\mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{c}_i + |B_{j,i}|\mathbf{c}_j) = (\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_i) + (\mathbf{c}_i, |B_{j,i}|\mathbf{c}_j) + (|B_{j,i}|\mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{c}_i) + |B_{j,i}|(\mathbf{c}_j, |B_{j,i}|\mathbf{c}_j) = (\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_i) + 2|B_{j,i}|(\mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{c}_i) + |B_{j,i}|^2(\mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{c}_j) = 2d_i + 2|B_{j,i}|(\mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{c}_i) + |B_{j,i}|^2 2d_j = 2d_i + 2|B_{j,i}|d_j A_{j,i} + |B_{j,i}|^2 2d_j = 2d_i + 2|B_{j,i}|d_j(A_{j,i} + |B_{j,i}|)$, implying that $A_{j,i} + |B_{j,i}| = 0$, thus $A_{j,i} = -|B_{j,i}| = -sgn(B_{j,i})B_{j,i} = -sgn(\mathbf{c}_j)B_{j,i}$.

To prove the second part, let us suppose that $sgn(B_{j,i}) = -sgn(\mathbf{c}_j)$. Let $\mu_i(\mathbf{c}, B) = (\mathbf{c}', B')$ with $B' = \mu_i(B)$. Note that $sgn(B'_{j,i}) = -sgn(B_{j,i})$ (by the definition of mutation). Let $A' = \mu_i^{\epsilon}(A)$ where $\epsilon = sgn(\mathbf{c}_i)$.

First assume that $sgn(\mathbf{c}_j) = -sgn(\mathbf{c}_i)$. Then we have $sgn(\mathbf{c}_i) = sgn(B_{j,i})$, so $\mathbf{c}'_j = \mathbf{c}_j$ and $\mathbf{c}'_i = -\mathbf{c}_i$, so $sgn(\mathbf{c}'_j) = sgn(\mathbf{c}_j) = -sgn(B_{j,i}) = sgn(B'_{j,i})$, i.e. for the Y-seed $(\mathbf{c}', B')$, we have $sgn(B'_{j,i}) = sgn(\mathbf{c}'_j)$. Thus, by the first part, we have $-|B'_{j,i}| = A'_{j,i} = -A_{j,i}$. Thus $A_{j,i} = |B'_{j,i}| = |B_{j,i}| = -sgn(\mathbf{c}_i)sgn(\mathbf{c}_j)|B_{j,i}|$.

Similarly, if $sgn(\mathbf{c}_j) = sgn(\mathbf{c}_i)$, then $\mathbf{c}'_j = \mathbf{c}_j + |B_{i,j}|\mathbf{c}_i$, and $\mathbf{c}'_i = -\mathbf{c}_i$, furthermore $A'_{j,i} = A_{j,i}$. Thus $sgn(\mathbf{c}'_j) = sgn(\mathbf{c}_j) = -sgn(B_{j,i}) = sgn(B'_{j,i})$, i.e. for the Y-seed $(\mathbf{c}', B')$ we have $sgn(B'_{j,i}) = sgn(\mathbf{c}'_j)$. Then, by the first part of the theorem, we have $-|B'_{j,i}| = A'_{j,i} = A_{j,i}$. Therefore, since $B'_{j,i} = -B_{j,i}$, we have $A_{j,i} = A'_{j,i} = -|B'_{j,i}| = -|B_{j,i}| = -sgn(\mathbf{c}_i)sgn(\mathbf{c}_j)|B_{j,i}|$.

On the other hand, our assumption $sgn(B_{j,i}) = -sgn(\mathbf{c}_j)$ implies the following: $-sgn(\mathbf{c}_i)sgn(\mathbf{c}_j)|B_{j,i}| = -sgn(\mathbf{c}_i)sgn(\mathbf{c}_j)sgn(B_{j,i})B_{j,i} =$
$-\ sgn(\mathbf{c}_i)sgn(\mathbf{c}_j)(-sgn(\mathbf{c}_j))B_{j,i} = sgn(\mathbf{c}_i)B_{j,i}$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Let us note that for $\mu_k(\mathbf{c}, B) = (\mathbf{c}', B')$ we have the following: $\mathbf{c}'_k = -\mathbf{c}_k$; $\mathbf{c}'_i = \mathbf{c}_i + [sgn(\mathbf{c}_k)B_{k,i}]_+\mathbf{c}_k$ if $i \neq k$ by (1.2). On the other hand, $[sgn(\mathbf{c}_k)B_{k,i}]_+ \neq 0$ if and only if $sgn(\mathbf{c}_k)B_{k,i} > 0$ if and only if $sgn(\mathbf{c}_k) = sgn(B_{k,i})$. Then, by Theorem 1.2, we have $[sgn(\mathbf{c}_k)B_{k,i}]_+ = -A_{k,i}$. Thus $\mathbf{c}'_i = s_{\mathbf{c}_k}(\mathbf{c}_i) = \mathbf{c}_i - A_{k,i}\mathbf{c}_k$ by the definition of a reflection.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof follows by the same argument as in the proof of [11, Theorem 1.4].

References

[1] M. Barot, C. Geiss and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras of finite type and positive symmetrizable matrices. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 73 (2006), no. 3, 545–564.

[2] A. Buan, R. Marsh and I. Reiten, Cluster-tilted algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), no. 1, 323–332.

[3] H. Derksen, J. Weyman, A. Zelevinsky, Quivers with potentials and their representations II: Applications to cluster algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), no. 3, 749–790.

[4] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster Algebras IV, Compos. Math. 143 (2007), no. 1 112-164.

[5] M. Herschend, O. Iyama, Selfinjective quivers with potential and 2-representation-finite algebras, Compositio Mathematica 147 (2011), no.6, 1885-2010.

[6] V. Kac, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, Cambridge University Press (1991).

[7] T. Nakanishi and A. Zelevinsky, On tropical dualities in acyclic cluster algebras, Algebraic groups and quantum groups, 217 – 226, Contemp. Math., 565, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.

[8] N. Reading and D. Speyer, Combinatorial frameworks for cluster algebras, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2016, no. 1, 109–173.

[9] A. Seven, Cluster algebras and semipositive symmetrizable matrices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363(5), 2011, 2733-2762.

[10] D. Speyer and H. Thomas, Acyclic cluster algebras revisited, Algebras, quivers and representations, 275–298, Abel Symp., 8, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.

[11] A. Seven, Cluster algebras and symmetric matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2015), no. 2, 469 – 478.

Middle East Technical University, Department of Mathematics, 06800, Ankara, Turkey
E-mail address: aseven@metu.edu.tr